Lecture Notes for Math 627A Modern Algebra Groebner Bases

Michael E. O'Sullivan mosulliv@math.sdsu.edu wwww-rohan.sdsu.edu/~mosulliv

September 30, 2011

1 Monomial Orderings

Definition 1.1. A monomial ordering < (also called a term ordering) is a an ordering of \mathbb{N}_0^n such that

- 1. < is a total ordering: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, either $\alpha < \beta$, or $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha > \beta$.
- 2. < respects addition: $\alpha < \beta$ implies $\alpha + \gamma < \beta + \gamma$.
- 3. < is a well ordering: any nonempty subset of \mathbb{N}_0^n has a least element.

Given a term ordering, for $f \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ we will write LT(f) for the leading term, LM(f) for the leading monomial, LC(f) for the leading coefficient, and LE(f) for the leading exponent (the exponent of the leading term). IVA calls LE(f) the multidegree and uses mdeg(f). We will say f is monic when LC(f) = 1. For a set $S \subseteq k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ (in particular for an ideal), LT(S) is shorthand for $\{LT(f) : f \in S\}$.

Here is a summary of a results concerning monomial orderings from Robbiano (*Theory of graded structures*, 1986, and *Term orderings on the polynomial ring*, 1985).

- 1. Any term ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^n extends in a unique way to a term ordering on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- 2. Any term ordering on \mathbb{Z}^n extends in a unique way to a term ordering on \mathbb{Q}^n .

- 3. Any term ordering on \mathbb{Q}^n is such that $(\mathbb{Q}^n)^+$ (the set of elements larger than $(0,\ldots,0)$) is convex, and $(\mathbb{Q}^n)^-$ (the set of elements smaller than $(0,\ldots,0)$) is also convex.
- 4. The previous statement implies that the ordering is continuous. That means, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, if there exists a neighborhood U_α such that $U_\alpha \{\alpha\} \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}^n)^+$ then $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Q}^n)^+$ (and similarly for -).
- 5. Thus, every ordering on \mathbb{Q}^n extends to a continuous order on \mathbb{R}^n .

I will just explain the first step above (the second is similar, the rest are harder). Suppose that < is an ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^n . Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (so α and β may have negative terms). There is some $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $\alpha + \gamma$ and $\beta + \gamma$ both have positive components (Check this!). We will say that $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha + \gamma < \beta + \gamma$. Since there are many choices for γ , we have to check consistency: $\alpha + \gamma < \beta + \gamma$ iff $\alpha + \gamma' < \beta + \gamma'$ for all γ' large enough so that $\alpha + \gamma'$ and $\beta + \gamma'$ are in \mathbb{N}_0^n . (Check this!)

Theorem 1.2. Every term ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^n is given by some sequence $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, in the following sense. $\alpha < \beta$ iff there is some t such that $\alpha \cdot u_i = \beta \cdot u_i$ for i < t and $\alpha \cdot u_t < \beta \cdot u_t$.

The \cdot means the dot product. In other words, $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha \cdot u_1 < \beta \cdot u_1$ while $\alpha > \beta$ if $\alpha \cdot u_1 > \beta \cdot u_1$. If this test is inconclusive, that is $\alpha \cdot u_1 = \beta \cdot u_1$, then use u_2 to compare. Continue on till you get a definitive answer.

If there are n vectors $u_1, \ldots u_n$ and they are linearly independent, then any unequal α and β will be distinguished by one of these tests (Check!). It is possible for fewer than n vectors to determine a total ordering. This is because α and β have integer entries. Find an example with n = 2.

2 Groebner Bases

Fix a monomial ordering.

Lemma 2.1 (Dickson). Every monomial ideal has a finite generating set. More precisely, for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there is a finite $A' \subseteq A$ such that

$$\langle x^{\alpha} : \alpha \in A \rangle = \langle x^{\alpha} : \alpha \in A' \rangle$$

Furthermore, we may take A' so that $x^{\alpha} \nmid x^{\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A'$.

The last sentence of the theorem should be fairly clear. If x^{α} divides x^{β} then any monomial divisible by x^{β} is also divisible by x^{α} so we may remove β from A' and still have a generating set. Removing all such unnecessary exponents gives the result.

Theorem 2.2 (Groebner Basis). Any ideal I in $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is finitely generated. There is a subset $G = \{g_1, ..., g_t\} \subseteq I$ such that $I = \langle g_1, ..., g_t \rangle$.

Proof. Let $x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}$ generate $\langle \operatorname{LT}(I) \rangle$. By Dickson's lemma the x_i^{α} are leading terms of elements of I, say $x^{\alpha_i} = \operatorname{LT}(g_i)$. Now let $f \in I$. Dividing f by G we get $f = a_1g_1 + \cdots + a_tg_t + r$ and the remainder r has no terms divisible by any of the x^{α_i} (terms are only placed in the remainder when they are not divisible by any leading term of a g_i). Now $f - \sum a_i g_i = r \in I$. If $r \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{LT}(r) \in \langle \operatorname{LT} I \rangle$ so $\operatorname{LT}(r)$ is divisible by some x^{α_i} . This gives a contradiction. Thus r = 0.

There are several corollary results that we can derive with a careful look at the proof.

Definition 2.3. Given a term order on $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ and ideal I let $\Delta(I) = \mathbb{N}_0^n - \mathrm{LT}(I)$. We will call $\Delta(I)$ the footprint of I.

Proposition 2.4. Given $f \in I$ there is a unique $g \in I$ and a unique $r = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(I)} r_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ such that f = g + r. Furthermore, for any Groebner basis, G (and any ordering of G), r is the remainder when f is divided by G.

Proof. As in the proof of the theorem, we can divide any $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by a Groebner basis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ and get $f = \sum a_i g_i + r$ with remainder r having terms with exponents in $\Delta(I)$. Let $g = \sum a_i g_i$. We have shown existence of g, r; we must show uniqueness. Suppose f = g + r and f = g' + r'. Then g + r - (g' + r') = 0 so g - g' = r' - r. I claim g = g' and r = r'. If not, $g - g' \in I$, so $\text{LE}(g - g') \notin \Delta(I)$. On the other hand $\text{LE}(r - r') \in \Delta(I)$ since all terms of r and r' have exponents in $\Delta(I)$. This gives a contradiction.

We have also shown that r is the remainder when f is divided by G, for any Groebner basis G.

Note: this is all based on a fixed term order!.

Definition 2.5. A Groebner basis G for I is $\underline{\text{minimal}}$ when each $g \in G$ is monic and $\{\text{LT}(g): g \in G\}$ satisfies the second sentence of Lemma 2.1, $LT(g) \nmid \text{LT}(g')$ for all $g, g' \in G$. A Groebner basis is $\underline{\text{reduced}}$ when, in addition, no term of $g \in G$ is divisible by LT(g') for $g' \in G - \{g\}$.

Proposition 2.6. A nonzero ideal I has a unique reduced Groebner basis.

Proof. For existence, let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a minimal Groebner basis. Divide g_1 by $g_2, \ldots g_t$. We get $g_1 = \sum_{i=2}^t a_i g_i + r_1$. Notice that $r_1 \in I$ since r_1 is a combination of elements of I. Furthermore, since G was minimal $\mathrm{LT}(g_1)$ is not divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g_i)$ for i > 2. Consequently, r_1 has the same leading term as g_1 . Replace g_1 with r_1 and we still have a minimal Groeber basis, but no term of r_1 is divisible by $\mathrm{LT}(g_i)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, t$. Proceed similarly with g_i for $i = 2, \ldots, t$.

These important results generalize the Division Theorem (given f and I we get a unique remainder and a unique element of I whose sum is f and we have an algorithm for computing them, division by a Groebner basis). We have also solved the ideal description problem in a satisfying way: there is a unique reduced Groebner basis. We have an easy test for ideal membership, divide by the Groebner basis and see if the result is 0.

The next and key question is how to compute a Groebner basis, akin to the question for k[x], how do we compute the gcd of two polynomials in one variable. The answer in one indeterminate is the Euclidean algorithm. It is not so simple with several indeterminates.

3 S-polynomials

Definition 3.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. For i = 1, ..., n, let $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$. We will call γ the LCM (α, β) . We will also call x^{γ} the LCM (x^{α}, x^{β}) .

It should be clear that $LCM(x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta})$ is the smallest monomial that is a multiple of both x^{α} and x^{β} . Using LCM for α and β is a small abuse of terminology that may be useful at some point.

Definition 3.2. Let $f, g \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. The <u>syzygy polynomial</u> of f and g (also called the S-polynomial) is

$$S(f,g) = \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{LT}(f)}f - \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{LT}(g)}g$$

where $\gamma = LCM(LE(f), LE(g))$.

In other words if the leading monomial of f is x^{α} and the leading monomial of g is x^{β} , then $\frac{x^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{LT}(f)}f$ and $\frac{x^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{LT}(g)}g$ both have leading term x^{γ} , which is the smallest monomial divisible by both $\mathrm{LT}(f)$ and $\mathrm{LT}(g)$. The S-poly results from taking the smallest multiples of f and g that will give cancellation

of leading terms. Thus LM(S(f,g)) < LCM(LM(f), LM(g)). Of course, if f, g are elements of some ideal I then $S(f,g) \in I$.

Let $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ and let $I = \langle F \rangle$ be the ideal generated by F. We would like to have (1) a test to see if F is a Groebner basis for I and (2) an algorithm to compute a Groebner basis for I if F is not one.

The algorithm is essentially this, for various $f_i, f_j \in F$ compute $S(f_i, f_j)$ then divide the result by F and get a remainder r with no terms divisible by leading terms of polynomials in F. Since $S(f_i, f_j) \in I$ and we divided by $F \subseteq I$ we must have $r \in I$. We also know that LT(r) is not divisible by LT(f) for all $f \in F$. If $r \neq 0$, we add r to our set F and repeat the process: choose two elements of $F \cup \{r\}$, compute their S-poly, divide by $F \cup \{r\}$ to get a remainder, if it is nonzero throw it into our set of polynomials and continue.

Note: for F an ordered set of polynomials, $F = f_1, \ldots, f_t$ the notation \overline{h}^F means the remainder when h is divided by F. The symbol $h \to_F r$ means that $h = \sum a_i f_i + r$ and $\text{LE}(f) \ge \text{LE}(a_i f_i)$ for all i with $a_i \ne 0$. There is a difference (see IVA §9).

Theorem 3.3 (S-poly). Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a generating set for I. G is a Groebner basis for I iff $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G = 0$ for all i, j.

Futhermore, the algorithm described above terminates with a Groebner basis for I after a finite number of steps.

These results take some work to prove. We will use them now, and I will prove later after covering some of the general theory of rings and modules.

Problem 1: Monomial orderings (See II.4 #10-12). Each of these have fairly brief answers:

- (a). For n=3, list the monomials of total degree 2 or less in increasing order for grevlex and for grlex. This is enough to show they are different orderings.
- (b). Let n = 3. For each of the monomial orderings lex, grlex and grevlex, find vectors u_1, u_2, u_3 which determine that ordering.
- (c) Find a total ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^3 which is determined by a single vector u_1 . Explain why the one vector is sufficient.
- (d) Find necessary and sufficient condition(s) on the u_i to ensure that a group ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^n is also a well-ordering and therefore a monomial ordering.
- (e) Find necessary and sufficient condition(s) on the u_i that ensure that a monomial ordering on \mathbb{N}_0^n has no element α that is larger than an infinite

number of other elements of \mathbb{N}_0^n . Justify your answer.

(f) Let $>_1$ be a monomial ordering on $\mathbb{N}_0^{m_1}$ and let $>_2$ be a monomial ordering on $\mathbb{N}_0^{m_2}$. Generalize the discussion in IVA II.4#10 to define the product order of $>_1$ and $>_2$.

Explain how to obtain vectors defining the product order from the vectors defining the individual orders.

Problem 2: The ascending chain condition (see IVA II.5 #12-14).

- (a) For a ring R prove that the following two conditions are equivalent:
- (i) Every ascending chain of ideals in R stabilizes. (ii) Every ideal of R is finitely generated.
- (b) Show that every descending chain of varieties in k^n stabilizes.
- (c) Give an example of an infinite strictly descending chain of ideals in k[x].

Problem 3: For the example we studied in class, $f_1 = y - x^2$, $f_2 = x^2y - x^2$, apply the algorithm described above to compute the Groebner basis. Try 4 cases, lex and glex with x > y and y > x.